



## Philip S

---

**From:** philip s [philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 21 July 2005 11:27 AM  
**To:** SA Police Fraud squad (SAPOL.CECB@police.sa.gov.au)  
**Subject:** Fraud Allegations - Statement to Police by Philip Stilianos

Dear Sir/Madam

Could you please examine this. I have not yet been able to make the trip to Adelaide to lodge this statement. Am I required to do this?

Philip Stilianos  
21 July 2005

Ph 03 9569 2342  
Fax 03 8610 0078

### **STATEMENT TO S.A. POLICE**

#### Body of Evidence

of fraud committed by Stan Itsines of  
5 Frosbisher Avenue Flinders Park SA

Against Erini Stiliano (mother-in-law) and Philip Stilianos (her son).

Summary of allegations:

Abbreviations:

POA - Power of Attorney  
GB - Guardianship Board  
PT - Public Trustee

These are frauds committed upon my mother and by virtue of her will, myself, myself being the intended victim.

- Preview.
- Personal threats from Stan Itsines.
- Fraudulent letter 'dated' 21-Mar-1993 by Stan Itsines.
- Fraudulent letter 'dated' 30-Sep-2000 by Stan Itsines.
- Fraudulent deception of Guardianship Board and Public Trustee in 2004 by Stan Itsines.
- Stealing of six title documents in 2001 by Stan Itsines with intent to commit fraud.
- Stealing of leasing document by Stan Itsines in 2001 with intent to commit fraud.
- Fraudulent attempt by Stan Itsines in 2001 to obtain land designated for Erini Stiliano's beneficiaries.

- Stan Itsines conspired with my mother's POA in 2000 to commit property fraud upon myself and my mother, who had Alzheimer's.
- When the POA died, Stan Itsines insisted that that all of my mother's land had to go to my mother's two daughters, because it was 'Greek tradition'. He threatened me with 'cutting you up into quarters'.

**- Preview.**

My mother's name is Erini Stiliano. She is in the final stages of Alzheimer's. I am her main beneficiary and as a direct consequence of that I have been the target of a number of attempted frauds by some people adversely impacted by my mother's Will. I will focus my charges on only one fraud, a non-family member, Stan Itsines, who has had the most influence on corrupting my mother's administrators. He has no intention of doing things legally because he knows he cannot obtain anything through legal means.

Stan Itsines is in no way related by blood to my family. There is no emotional bond between him and my mother. He is willing to steal whatever he can from us because he is a thief who would without conscience strip my mother and her beneficiaries of everything. I am treating him as I would treat any felon behaving this way.

He has tried very hard to do everything possible to steal my mother's land since her POA died on 20 March 2001. I have managed to counter all ( I think) his various ways of attempting to steal her land. His main weapons, the secret fake property-claim letters he wrote forging my mother's and her POA's signatures, and stealing and concealing a crucial squatter-proof leasing document, have been exposed after much investigation. The unknown fraudulent letters were discovered and exposed early in 2004, and the denied-to-exist leasing document was discovered and found in his possession on 15 November 2004.

The fraudulent letters are enough to convict him on. The other crooked acts are described here to put the matter beyond doubt.

As you read this bear in mind there are perpetrators and there are victims. The perpetrators have in fact managed, through skilful deception, to be perceived as the victims and made myself out to be as the perpetrator, a stunning performance worthy of a number of Logies.

This is a victim's statement and it can't be the most pleasant thing to either compose or read.

Stan Itsines has not made any defence or submitted anything in writing or challenged any of the well-researched allegations.

**- Personal threats from Stan Itsines.**

My family fears this super greedy non-blood in-law. My daughter Nicole was so distressed and hysterical by his threats of 'We will get you - we will get you' in March 2005 that she has cut off all communications with everyone and declared Stan a 'psycho'. She also cancelled two flight tickets for her and her twin sister Irene to visit their grandmother (my mother) in early May 2005. He has threatened me with "I'll cut you up into quarters", and "I will get you". Fortunately I and my family are in Melbourne and Canberra, a comparatively safe distance from him. I believe the guilty behave in this threatening, intimidating, manner.

**- My Mother's Will.**

My mother and I have been subject to property fraud since 1993, when her will was made out , unbeknown to me leaving her residual estate solely to me. This residual estate comprised mainly her overseas estate.

My mother's will:

*"I give devise and bequeath the whole of my estate both real and personal of whatsoever kind and wheresoever situated unto my said trustees upon the following trusts:*

*(a) to pay all my just debts funeral and testamentary expenses and all estate succession and other death duties payable in respect of any of the gifts devises or bequests under this my Will.*

*(b) as to the whole of my estate in the residential property situated at 31 Halifax avenue Parafield Gardens unto my great grandchildren **Sara Stilianos** and **Shamona Stilianos** upon their attaining 18 years of age equally between them as tenants in common and in the event of either or both of my great grandchildren predeceasing me or surviving me and dying before attaining a vested interest in my estate as herein declared thereupon I declare such interest of either or both of my said great grandchildren shall be held upon trust for my son **Philip Stilianos** for his sole use and benefit absolutely.*

*(c) as to the test residue and remainder of my estate upon trust for my son **Philip Stilianos** for his sole use and benefit absolutely."*

*Erini Stiliano, 30-Nov-1993*

Sara and Shamona are my grandchildren.

This Will was signed by the testator my mother Erini Stiliano on 30 Nov 1993, in the presence of her solicitor Bill Niarchos, her POA and her daughter Stella, and signed by witnesses Bill Niarchos and Jim Scarpellini of Niarchos & Co Solicitors Adelaide. The contents of the Will were read out and made known to her POA and daughter Stella.

A draft Will prepared 17 August 1993 contained the same intent as the final Will. This draft is also available.

My mother began to suffer Alzheimer's a few years after this final will was made.

**- Fraudulent attempt by Stan to obtain land designated for Erini's beneficiaries.**

Immediately following her POA's death in March 2001, there were attempts to defraud my mother and myself of her overseas property.

Stan Itsines attempted to obtain by deception my consent to appoint him as 'power of attorney' so he could dispose of all of my mother's land and not put any of the proceeds in my mother's bank account, and to steal much of my mother's land for his own use and more for his wife's use. See the emails below that incriminate him.

I did not know that my mother had willed this estate to me. However he knew very well that my mother had left this estate to me, and he was deceptive in concealing this fact from me with the intent to commit fraud. He knew of my mother's will because this was conveyed to him by the executors of my mother's Will.

My sister Stella also knew of the Will because she had a copy of my mother's will since 1993.

As a result of these emails together with my extensive investigations here and overseas, I submitted an application to the Guardianship Board to protect my mother's estate.

Emails incriminating Stan Itsines:

Addressees	Body
<p><b>From:</b>Gary Stiliano  <b>Sent:</b>26/03/2001 10:29:04 AM  <b>To:</b>Philip S  <b>CC:</b>  <b>Subject:</b>Re: Re Dad</p>	<p>Have just heard from Koula who is still with us, that Con who is managing financial affairs on behalf of Dad in Greece, was quite disturbed by the way Stan conducted himself while he was in Greece last year. Aparently <b><u>Stan was waving his power of attorney at Con, telling him he could do whatever he wanted, transferring property to himself and raiding the bank accounts that Dad had set up there.</u></b> I will get more details direct from Con himself and advise.</p>
<p><b>From:</b>Gary Stiliano  <b>Sent:</b>9/05/2001 11:47:25 PM  <b>To:</b>Philip S  <b>CC:</b>  <b>Subject:</b>Land in Greece</p>	<p><b><u>Stan rang tonite. He said we have to get ball rolling immediately or else we face the risk of forfeiting the property to the villagers who may claim it if we don't present them with a power of attorney soon.</u></b></p> <p>He said we have to produce a certificate of mental incompetence to the Greek Consulate here in Adelaide and <u>all</u> five children have to be present to sign a document which confers power of attorney to a person of our choice in Greece. (I would suggest Kostas Stefanaras).</p> <p><b><u>Are you prepared to co-operate in this regard?</u></b></p> <p>-</p>
	<p><b>COMMENT ON ABOVE EMAIL</b>  <b>2004-10-04</b></p> <p>It is now known there was a leasing document which was in Stan's possession that made squatting and claims impossible. Stan even claims in the GB hearing of 26 Aug 2004 that there was never a danger of squatting. This is contained in the transcript of that hearing.</p>
<p><b>From:</b>Gary Stiliano  <b>Sent:</b>13/06/2001 11:48:51 AM  <b>To:</b>Philip S  <b>CC:</b>  <b>Subject:</b>Re: Land Titles</p> <p>[SeqId = 1002260]  [RefId = <a href="#">1002250</a>]</p>	<p>&lt;clipped&gt;</p> <p>I would do things in this order.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 <b><u>Appoint Stan as power of attorney</u></b> (Seek approval from Greek Consuate (?) and attach our signatures)</li> <li>2.<b><u>Send him to Greece to sell all individually owned property and transmit the money to each intended title holder.</u></b></li> <li>3.Continue with his quest to obtain govts portion of jointly owned pty</li> <li>4. Each persons share of fees to be based on each persons sale proceeds.</li> <li>5 Whatever we pay Stan - he will well and truly earn it. It's a very messy affair. A solicitor would charge a fortune for the same effort.</li> </ol> <p>If you wish to appoint a solicitor that's fine too but allow Stan to choose a solicitor. The solicitor must specialise in property disputes, speak english, be experienced, be trustworthy and be available on the internet.</p>

<p><b>From:</b>Gary Stiliano  <b>Sent:</b>15/06/2001 12:08:27 AM  <b>To:</b>Philip S  <b>CC:</b>  <b>Subject:</b>Re: Thanks for response - Philip Stilianos</p> <p>[SeqId = 1002390]  [RefId = <a href="#">1002340</a>]</p>	<p>&lt;clipped&gt;</p> <p>I think you better let Stan know and see what his reaction is. &lt;clipped&gt;</p> <p><b><u>No matter what or how much mum is given she is not going to enjoy that benefit, so such statements reek of hypocrisy and should be made quite clear in their intent. Giving mum money is like giving a blind man a book to read.</u></b></p> <p>The law may sometimes be just, but it is also an ass.</p> <p>B4 deciding on custodial grounds, contact Salamastrakis and find out what, if any documents (whether notarized or not) exist to verify intent to transfer. Contact Stan first as he may want to handle it delicately if <b><u>he suspects Salamastrakis, upon realizing we have no legal ownership title, attempt to transfer the properties to himself.</u></b></p>
<p><b>From:</b>Gary Stiliano  <b>Sent:</b>17/06/2001 1:38:56 PM  <b>To:</b>Philip S  <b>CC:</b>  <b>Subject:</b>Greek titles</p> <p>[SeqId = 1002510]  [RefId = ]</p>	<p>I spoke to <b><u>Stan</u></b> today at church - it was Dad's 3 month memorial service.</p> <p><b><u>He said you can find out which ones are your titles by contacting Salamastrakis. And you can sell them without having titles as Salamastrakis has legal instructions as to who has been allocated what.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Stan said</u></b> if we leave things as they are there will be no problem. <b><u>Each can sell his property as long as no one else in the family objects.</u></b></p> <p>Evidently one of yours and one of George's properties is valuable (I don't know how much). I suggest you find out which ones are your allocated properties, sell it</p> <p>&lt;clipped&gt;</p>
	<p><b><u>COMMENT ON ABOVE EMAIL</u></b>  <b><u>2004-11-08</u></b></p> <p>It's June 16, 2001, and they still haven't let on that Mum's Will has left all the Rhodes land to me. He's known this for months. And he is supposed to be the Executor of her will. This among other examples is why this Executor will be removed from the Will by the beneficiaries for his endangering the estate, his dishonesty and deception, and ill-intent towards the beneficiaries. For similar reasons Anna will be removed as co-Executor.</p>
<p><b>From:</b>Gary Stiliano  <b>Sent:</b>18/06/2001 11:40:20 AM  <b>To:</b>Philip S  <b>CC:</b>  <b>Subject:</b>Re: Greek titles</p> <p>[SeqId = 1002600]  [RefId = <a href="#">1002510</a>]</p>	<p><b><u>Stan said its adjacent land owners you most have to fear. They continue encroaching as they do their farming etc and eventually claim its theirs</u></b> (?). The difficulty is that they're there and we're here. And he doesn't trust Salamastrakis the solicitor. All the necessary papers are in the solicitors hands. If he can't be trusted, then that's a real problem.</p> <p>Goodness me, if we can't trust the solicitor what hope have we got? Anyway, thats a pessimistic view and one should assume that a solicitor would only seek to represent</p>

	his client, not to screw him out of his entitlements. But you would have to speak to Stan about his suspicions.
<b>From:</b> Gary Stiliano <b>Sent:</b> 22/06/2001 12:15:18 AM <b>To:</b> Philip S <b>CC:</b> <b>Subject:</b> Re: Re Dad  [SeqId = 1002760] [RefId = <a href="#">1002750</a> ]	<p><b><u>Stan just called. He spoke to Salamastrakis who will try to locate details of individual ownership.</u></b></p> <p>Salamastrakis said he had received contact from you but hadn't replied because he couldn't speak english and didn't want to pay for an interpreter to translate.</p> <p><b><u>I heard that Stella read you Mum's will today. Were you aware of the contents of the will beforehand?</u></b></p>

Application to GB for Administrator for my mother:

**'WHY IS AN ADMINISTRATOR NEEDED'**

**STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**

**Justification**

*An administrator is urgently required for my mother Erini Stiliano to protect her land in Rhodes Greece from being plundered by squatters or any other means. The land has been vacant for nearly 15-20 years.*

*She has advanced Alzheimer's.*

*My father died on March 20 this year and so any control of the land in Greece has disappeared.*

*The solicitor in Greece who has custody of the land titles has not provided any assistance since my father's death with regard to matters concerning my mother's property, and is resisting all attempts to obtain assistance and/or information.*

*Who is administering the land? Are there taxes or rates to be paid? Is the land leased? If so into whose bank account are the proceeds going to.*

*Are there squatters on the land who would claim ownership after 15-20 years of absence of interest by the owner? Are there illegal dealings/arrangements? Are there legal fees owing to the solicitor? Whose legal fees are they?*

*Why is there no interest in anyone apart from myself and daughters in seeking an administrator for the land? After all my daughters and I live in Melbourne, my siblings are in Adelaide. I have only become involved with family politics since my father's death. I don't have to tell you that without an administrator the politics are a lot worse.*

*Is it because my mother's will is said to leave her estate to my family and has redressed an imbalance of substantial assistance to others. Are those who are excluded from the Will attempting to obtain her assets before they get to me?*

*I have indicated to all that any inheritance I obtain from my mother will go to my daughters equally - there is not a cent in it for me personally.*

*Clearly there is conflict in the family - if an administrator is appointed it might mean depending on the Will that my brothers and sisters don't get any of it.*

*Only one sibling, my sister Anna, objects to this - the others respect my mother's fulfillment of her deepest wishes which she expressed many times to my sister Stella and father before her Alzheimer's that she wanted to help my children as the children of the other siblings had been taken care of.*

*Regardless of the Will, my mother requires an administrator to meet her own needs.*

*I would like to see a substantial improvement in the quality of private transport that she has to endure - it may be good enough for my robust sister Stella who is my mother's carer but I would like to see the vehicle upgraded to one which is airconditioned has plenty of room and is high enough off the ground for my mother to comfortably get in and out without excessive knee bending and stretch her legs inside. My mother has excruciating pain in her knees due to artificial knee joint operations that were not successful. It will also be of great benefit to my sister in physically handling her mother with the car and wheelchair handling.*

*I would also encourage more entertainment for my mother who had endured excessive hardship, pain and deprivation of social life on the farm for many years before her Alzheimer's.*

*Well that's about as much as I wish to say at the moment about the reasons behind this application.*

### **Administrator Plan of Action**

*Whoever the administrator is, the following must be carried immediately upon appointment:*

- 1. Appoint a notary Greek solicitor in Australia to take measures to protect the land in Greece from any unauthorised dealings, confiscation due to*

*non-payment of taxes, squatting, etc.*

*2. Obtain custody of all documents from the current Rhodes solicitor.*

*3. Meet any legal expenses from my mother's assets (bank, land).*

*In the longer term, sell the land at market value and transfer funds to an Australian account. Make appropriate purchases (car etc) for my mother's well being. If/when all funds transferred hand over administration to State Trustee.*

*The State Trustee must be able and willing to act decisively on the plan. From my discussions with the State Trustee our situation is not something they relish or wish to deal with.*

*Statement by Philip Stilianos*

*23 August 2001*

**- Fraudulent Letters Penned by Stan Itsines**

Having failed in his crooked attempt to defraud me via above emails, Stan Itsines devised other strategies.

Some years after my mother's POA died, two documents surfaced which had never appeared before. They were both penned by Stan Itsines, and the signatures were forged by him. These are fraudulent unauthenticated documents intending to defraud my mother and myself of properties in Greece.

These documents are in Greek. They were secretly presented to the Public Trustee in late 2001 as a claim on my mother's land. That's what makes it fraud, the fact that it was presented to a South Australian government body with the intention of deceiving them into thinking they had some sort of claim.

The letters are *unauthenticated* and were *never received* by the addressee solicitor Salamastrakis, because Salamastrakis would have mentioned it in his email to me of 23-June-2005 (see email text below). It was penned by Stan when he saw that other attempts to defraud me had failed.

The two letters were submitted secretly by him to the Public Trustee at or shortly after the Guardianship Board hearing on 26 Nov 2001, and this act thereby constitutes fraudulent intent.

No-one in the family was aware of these letters. They were generated by Stan Itsines only after I initiated action to protect my mother from fraud by applying to the guardianship board for protection of my mother from fraud on 23-Aug-2001.

I first became aware of these letters when the PT inadvertently sent them to my sister Stella in mid 2003. I read their contents in Feb 2004 when I visited Stella. Stella had asked the PT if any leasing document had been given to them by Stan Itsines, and the PT, thinking that the Greek letters may have been the leasing document requested of them, sent them to Stella. And that was *game over* for Stan Itsines.

**- Fraudulent letter 'dated' 21-March-1993 by Stan Itsines**

A handwritten letter 'dated' 21 Mar 1993, was identified as penned by Stan Itsines, and contained only one 'signature' by my mother, and addressed to solicitor Dimitris Salamastrakis of Rhodes, Greece. However, and this is crucial, Dimitris states that the only letter he ever received was one signed by *both* the POA and my mother. This proves that the document is fraudulent. See the bold text in the email below.

Translation of fraudulent Greek letter handwritten by Stan Itsine(my translation attempt):

*Adelaide 21.3.1993*

*Dear Salamastrakis*

*With this my letter I wish you inform the below.*

*I wish that my properties in Lahanía (a) part 3869 (b) part 4331 (c) part 129 (d) part 624 and my house in Lahanía Rhodes, I gift and surrender them to daughter, Anna-Maria Itsines resident Adelaide Australia.*

*Signed  
Erini Stiliano*

Email proves fraud:

**From:** Δημήτριος Σαλαμαστράκης (Dimitris Salamastrakis) [mailto:dimidsr@otenet.gr]

**Sent:** Saturday, 23 June 2001 9:58 AM

**To:** Philip S

**Subject**

**Mr Philip Stilianos**

**AUSTRALIA**

Dear Philip

I apologize to you for not having answered your e-mails because I had an important case to handle. It is a little difficult for me to communicate with you in English.

The properties that it is located in Lachania Rodos, are in your mother's name and belong to her. She is the only one who can sell the property of the titles that have been nullified. Your father had the power of attorney to sell but now as he is dead it doesn't valid anymore.

In order to sell any part of the property, your mother must sign the relative contract at the presence of the notary public or the Greek Consulate. She can also appoint through a notary public or the Greek Consulate someone to sign on behalf of her. That means that she must appoint a representative. As far as I know your mother hasn't donated legally to you any part of the property in

Lachania through the notary public or the Greek Consulate. Therefore according to the Greek Law the titles of the property that you have don't belong to you but to your mother. So you can't sell. I don't have the information concerning the land your mother has passed on to you. **I only know the part of the property given to your sister Anna Itsines because *she sent me a letter signed by your mother and your father.***

There are not legal issues to be sold, but it depends on your mother. If she is not legally able to decide and if someone else is appointed as an administrator of the count is require for the sale.

I will send you another letter very soon, to inform you about the pieces of land that belongs to your mother and those given to your sister Anna Itsine, by your mother.

My best regards.

Dimitris Salamastrakis

When I challenged Stan with this fraud in a fax dated Dec 2004, he telephoned and stated that *the land was not my mother's and that she did not deserve it.* This proves again to me his motive to commit fraud.

My mother also advised me in about 1998 that she despised his ruthless and relentless greed. Her words to me prove to me that she did not sign that letter, and the 'letter' did not even exist then. At the risk of confusing the reader, Stan also said that he made an error in that one of the properties (a), (b), (c) or (d) in the letter was Stella's and he said he apologised to her (Stella). I was naive and ignorant of this anyway but thanks Stan for indicating that *you* decided on the properties that *you* wanted without any input from my mother or her POA. This augments the proof that it was a fraudulent concoction.

**- Fraudulent letter 'dated' 30-Sep-2000 by Stan Itsines**

The second document was a handwritten letter 'dated' 30-September-2000 and addressed to solicitor Salamastrakis. The writing was identified as Stan's. It was penned by Stan and signed by him and 'signed' by the POA. At this point my mother had full dementia and the so letter is invalid, illegal and fraudulent in its intent. Furthermore Stan Itsines when accused by me in a fax dated 22-Dec-2004 of fraud, stated *that he signed the POA's signature because the POA 'asked him to do a job'.*

My translation (still working on it - the gist of it is there). The italicised bits are fraud.

*FAX 78066  
Adelaide 30/9/2000*

*To D Salamastrakis*

*Dear Salamastrakis,*

1. Following the meeting we had in your office, in Rhodes in August, I write to you once again, <on behalf of my father-in-law Steve Stiliano regarding the property 189.

2. We request that you make the best efforts, for the Yield in the ypo\*ojpo piece in the bad decision to buy off from the State.

3. As to me you had said then it will be good comes out the title in the heirs the names of which you I enclose in the situation that I have with this my letter.

**4. Also you I request you are acted so that it comes out title in the name of my wife Anna Itsines and Stamatis Itsines in the property that is found in location 4378, in the best economic way, and if needs attorney can Mr Stefanaras sign for my Father-in-law.**

5. I am certain myself and my wife, that you will do the best for us, please report your expenses, again we believe that we will enjoy your good effort.

6. You I request also in order that you come in contact with the undersecretary Mr Kaiserdiathos and Mr A Pavlidi who are also both personal friends of mine and I believe that us they will help.

7. You en\*merw that first prospa \*\* a that you had made with regard to property 189 for yield I have afjsej Mr Kaiserdi prosopjka when \* nantysa in his office in Athens, \* pwmenos it knows everything.

8. \* sa you I write they is to inform my father-in-law which you greets and subscribes katwcen with me no time still you requests amas helps and ehwja your him will send whenever kjopoy you \* as him you ask.

**NAMES IN THE LOCATION 189:**

**ANNA MARIA ITSINES AND STAMATIS ITSINES < ADDRESS >**

GARY STILIANO < ADDRESS >

STELLA STILIANO < ADDRESS >

PHILIP STILIANOS < ADDRESS >

*You we greet with love*

*S. Stiliano*

**S. Itsines**

In the letter he fraudulent requests solicitor D Salamastrakis to transfer a title of land to his and his wife's name.

In the letter, my brother George is illegally removed form a share of some common land.

The POA had indicated to me early Sep 2001 that Anna would get nothing more as she had received enough.

The POA also indicated that he had removed George and put Stan in his place to share the common land. He said the fragmented common land was worthless unless it could be combined with adjoining crown land.

So when Stan composed his fraudulent letter after the POA died, he inserted himself as a recipient of

a full title.

The POA had stated quite clearly to me that Anna was to get nothing more, yet she appears in this fraudulent letter.

**- Fraudulent deception of Guardianship Board and Public Trustee in 2004 by Stan Itsines.**

FAXED

5 August 2004

SA Guardianship Board  
Prospect, Adelaide  
Fax 08 8368 5699

**Application by Philip Stilianos for Administration of Erini Stiliano**

I would like the Guardianship Board to consider my application for administrator of my mother's (Erini Stiliano) administration order which is currently being handled by the Public Trustee. I believe that the current administrator is agreeable to my assuming that role. Three Public Trustee officers I have spoken to recently would rather I be the administrator.

*Protection of property against Squatters*

If I am not urgently appointed as administrator then my mother will almost certainly lose her \$250K (minimum) of land in Greece to squatters.

I have familiarised myself with the Guardianship and Administration Act 1993 and feel that I am in the best position to protect my mother's overseas assets from squatters. The squatters are now legally able to claim the land.

*Leasing document essential to counter squatters*

I have established contacts with relatives in Greece who are able to assist me voluntarily in securing the land against squatters and disposing of land as necessary to assist in my mother's care.

The current administrator (Public Trustee) is unable to do this or proceed any further than it has without incurring huge legal costs and long delays as it does not have the special contacts necessary to obtain at minimal cost and efficiency the essential leasing documentation and file held by Rhodes solicitor D Salamastrakis. D Salamastrakis will not release this information to the Public Trustee for reasons yet to be determined but his actions are definitely not in mother's interests and wishes.

The special contact I refer to is a high profile owner of a large hotel complex in Rhodes Greece. He also develops/builds large hotels and has good business relationships with D Salamastrakis and other solicitors in Rhodes.

He has also very good knowledge of the people and land values in Lahania (the village where the properties are), and will obtain maximum market value by selling to the right people.

We were best mates at high school and university in Adelaide where we studied engineering.

I possess the skills that would be required in undertaking the role of administrator, and look forward to working with the Guardianship Board to provide the support that my mother needs. She would be very happy that she is being looked after by me and my relatives.

<clipped>

Please contact me if you require further statements or information.

Philip Stilianos

### GB FRAUD 1

Stan Itsines deceived the Guardianship Board into making a bad decision that would ensure Stan had time and further opportunity to implement his commitment to property fraud.

Instead of the GB approving my application to be administrator, they yielded to the barrage of lies intended to stall my mother's properties being protected properly against fraud by Stan Itsines.

The GB made the following flawed statement (containing 5 fraudulent deceptions conveyed by Stan and Anna Itsines) as the 'feature' statement in their Statement of Reasons:

*"The applicant (Philip) is allegedly the sole beneficiary of the protected person's will. These properties, according to Mr and Mrs Itsines, were intended to be distributed to all the children of the protected person by her husband. He apparently died prior to this arrangement being put in place **leaving his estate to his wife**, the protected person, who in turn has left her estate to her son Philip Stilianos and his children."*

The above gross deception was conveyed to the PT/GB by Stan Itsines *without my knowledge*, and it **was never material presented at the hearing**. It is a totally fraudulent statement.

My mother's properties had *never belonged to her husband*, so they were *never part of his estate* when he died. Her husband in fact had *left her nothing* in his deceased estate - she was not even mentioned in his will. He, as POA, had *already distributed most* (I was told 90%) of his wife's estate but *none to me*.

#### **a. 'leaving his estate to his wife' - false**

The following is my father's final will in full when he died. *Where is his wife Erini Stiliano mentioned as fed by the fraud/s to the GB? Nowhere!* If my mother's land in Greece had been part of his estate all his children would have received all of it by now. But the only estate of his of any value inherited by his 5 children was a farm in Adelaide valued at \$150,000. The GB was deceived by Stan and his wife, by deceptively implying that they were originally intended to be beneficiaries, with a view to commit fraud.

THIS IS THE LAST WILL AND TESTAMENT of me STEVE GEORGE STILIANO

of 33 Halifax Avenue, Parafield Gardens 5107 in the State of South Australia, Retired Labourer.

1. I REVOKE all former Wills and Testamentary dispositions heretofore made by me AND DECLARE this to be my last Will and Testament.
2. I APPOINT my children ANNA ITSINES and GARY STILIANO to be the executors and trustees of this my will.
3. I GIVE DEVISE AND BEQUEATH the while of my estate both real and personal of whatsoever kind and wheresoever situated unto my said trustees UPON TRUST to pay all my just debts funeral and testamentary expenses and all estate succession and other death duties payable in respect of any of the gifts, devises or bequests under this my Will and to STAND POSSESSED of the balance then remaining UPON TRUST for such of them my children GARY STILIANO, ANNA ITSINES, GEORGE STILIANO, STELLA STILIANO and PHILIP STILIANOS as shall survive me equally between them as tenants in common.
4. I DECLARE that if any child of mine shall predecease me or shall survive me and die before attaining a vested interest in my estate leaving a child or children who shall survive me such issue shall take and if more than one equally between them the share that his her or their parent would have taken had he she or they survived me and lived or attain a vested interest in my estate.

S Stiliano (signed)

Niarchos (witness)

Scarpellini (witness)

6 Dec 1993

***b. 'He apparently died prior to this arrangement being put in place' - false***

As you can see in the emails below, there were a number of substantial land grab arrangements, especially by the fraud's family. The GB was deceived by Stan and his wife, by deceptively implying that none of this took place, with a view to commit fraud. In addition to the amounts below, there is an estimated \$300,000 house that they were also given in the 'arrangement'.

**From:**Gary Stiliano  
**Sent:**4/05/2003 1:49:10 AM  
**To:**Philip stilianos  
**CC:**  
**Subject:**Re: Outstanding issues - farm

We know they brought in over \$100,000 from Greece 7 or 8 years ago, because they were complaining to the old man that they had lost about \$8,000 due to the exchange rate changing rapidly during the two months it took for the old man to get the money here.

We also learned by accident, when I was enquiring with dad's cousin and Greek bank

<p>[SeqId = 1015260] [RefId = <a href="#">1015230</a>]</p>	<p>manager (Stefanaras), that Anna had transferred \$57,000 to Peter's account from Greece, during the period that George was to receive \$11,000 from Anna for his share of the farm.</p> <p>Anna's deposit was a transfer from Melbourne.</p> <p>----- Original Message -----  <b>From:</b> <a href="#">Gary Stiliano</a>  <b>To:</b> <a href="mailto:philstil2003@yahoo.com.au">philstil2003@yahoo.com.au</a>  <b>Sent:</b> Saturday, 3 May 2003 8:47  <b>Subject:</b> Re: Outstanding issues - farm</p> <p>Not in the slightest bit interested in the Greek Land. I already know they have substantial ownership and have liquidated around \$150k.  And I have suspicions about Dads bank account in Greece which only had 10 cents left in it.  &lt;ed:Clipped&gt;</p>
<p><b>From:</b> Gary Stiliano  <b>Sent:</b> 21/05/2003 10:32:00 AM  <b>To:</b> Philip stilianos  <b>CC:</b>  <b>Subject:</b> Even more news</p> <p>[SeqId = 1015970] [RefId = ]</p>	<p>Anna's got ALL the land in Greece. I have NONE!</p> <p>I NEVER drove the old man insane like she did with her demands.</p>

c. *"These properties, according to Mr and Mrs Itsines, were intended to be distributed to all the children of the protected person by her husband" - false.*

The following letter clearly shows it that the properties were solely my mother's properties, and that her husband was merely her POA. The frauds deceived the GB into believing it was my father's property to distribute, with a view to commit fraud.

*To the Consulate General of Greece in Melbourne*

*Dear Sir,*

*My mother, Mrs Erini Stiliano, owns some land in Lahania, Rhodes, Greece, which she wants to distribute to her children.*

*My father is currently in Greece organising these affairs on my mother's behalf. My mother recently went to the Greek Consulate in Adelaide and signed a 'POWER OF ATTORNEY' to enable my father to do this.*

*<clipped>*

*Yours sincerely,*

*Stella Stiliano (signed)*

GB FRAUD 2

From the GB Hearing 26 August 2004 transcript on page 12:

Lin	Reads out written statement by Philip:  "Public Trustee is unable to do this or proceed any further than it has without incurring huge legal costs and long delays as it does not have the special contacts necessary to obtain at minimal cost and efficiency the essential <b>leasing documentation and file</b> held by Rhodes solicitor D Salamastrakis. Mr Salamastrakis will not release this information to the Public Trustee for reasons yet to be determined but his actions are definitely not in mother's interests and wishes."
Lin	"Do you have any idea why the solicitor (Salamastrakis) won't release this documentation, Philip?"
Philip Stilianos	"I believe he is <b>under instructions</b> from Anna (and/or Stan) not to release them."
Lin:	"Have you (Anna) instructed him not to release information."
Anna	" <b>Never.</b> "
Stan Itsines	" <b>I didn't Instruct him.</b> "
Lin	"ok, thank you"

However on 15 Nov 2005, Stan was proved to have *lied to and deceived the GB*, because immediately following the District Court Hearing of 15 Nov 2004, Gary Stiliano stated to me that Stan told him that he (*Stan*) *had instructed Rhodes solicitor Salamastrakis in 2001 to totally block out any communications with the Public Trustee and its solicitors*, and anyone else. This proves that Stan conspired with solicitor Salamastrakis to prevent my application to administer my mother's estate. My being appointment administrator would have jeopardised Stan's attempts to defraud us.

GB FRAUD 3

"Let's go Anna. Let's go. My lawyer- **I have five properties** and I need those properties"

My mother, who despised this greedy lying cheating bastard, gave him NO properties in her Will, in any document nor in any statement ever. Clearly this statement indicates his motive to commit fraud by writing and submitting fake property-claim letters to the Public Trustee.

GB FRAUD 4

"...Because these properties are not worth \$80,000 the whole lot....."

The properties are worth at least \$250,000 as valued by the PT. Thus he had intended as 'power of attorney' which he had sought from me, to commit fraud by selling cheaply to himself, and transferring ' 5 secret properties' to himself without our knowledge. When this failed, he intended, using his wife as my mother's executor, to commit fraud using the same technique of selling cheaply to himself and/or others, or to have associates make squatters claims.

At the risk of information overload, I shall stop here on the gaffes Stan and Anna made at the GB hearing. They did not realise that a transcript was available, and that a transcript of a district court hearing appeal would further expose them. The main reason for my instigating the hearings and the court appeal was to obtain transcripts which would incriminate him, as he otherwise concealed and withheld everything.

*- Stealing of six title documents in 2001 by Stan Itsines with intent to commit fraud.*

Stan had stolen 3 title documents which had been apparently 'allocated' for me, and 3 title documents which had been apparently 'allocated' for my brother George (now deceased 26 Dec 2001). He had intended to sell these properties and bank the proceeds for himself, via his wife as executor of her mother's Will, or as administrator, had his attempt to con me succeeded.

The documents, stolen in 2001 after my mother's POA died, were found in his possession on 15 November 2004.

At a GB hearing on 26 November 2001, he screamed to the GB as he was being evicted that "5 titles are mine! 5 titles are mine!"

At a GB hearing on 26 August 2004, he stated (repeated elsewhere above), as he was being asked by the GB to leave or being evicted again:

"Let's go Anna. Let's go. My lawyer- **I have five properties** and I need those properties"

The properties he referred to are likely the ones he had stolen the title documents allocated to my brother George (deceased) and myself .

*- When the POA died, Stan Itsines insisted that that all of my mother's land had to go to my mother's two daughters, because it was 'Greek tradition'. He threatened me with 'cutting you up into quarters'.*

When my mother's POA died, Stan insisted that all the land in Rhodes go to his wife Anna and my sister Stella because that was the 'Greek tradition'. I had never raised any queries about the Rhodes land to anyone, so Stan assumed I had forgotten all about it.

However he obviously did not count on my keeping a vital letter 1987 (see below) where my mother expressed her instructions, together with a POA document I had been asked to authorise at the Melbourne Greek Consulate. No one had remembered these documents - they had forgotten over time and my mother's years of oppression that the land belonged to my mother.

When I sent Stan a copy of my mother's letter of 1987 (see below), he did not mention this 'Greek tradition' again.

Instead of that he manufactured two fraudulent property-claim letters. If 'his' letters were genuine he would have mentioned them to me. The fraudulent letters were never mentioned to anybody at any time. Well that makes sense - fraudulent letters usually aren't mentioned are they?

He knew that whilst he could possibly deceive some fresh Public Trustee officers and Guardianship Board officers who were unacquainted with the all aspects of the case, he knew equally well that he could never fool any members of my mother's families.

Handwritten letter from Stella in 1987 on behalf of my mother:

*To the Consulate General of Greece in Melbourne*

*Dear Sir,*

*My mother, Mrs Erini Stiliano, owns some land in Lahania, Rhodes, Greece, which she wants to distribute to her children.*

*My father is currently in Greece organising these affairs on my mother's behalf. My mother recently went to the Greek Consulate in Adelaide and signed a 'POWER OF ATTORNEY' to enable my father to do this.*

*This document was sent to my father last week.*

*However, another 'POWER OF ATTORNEY' is required to be signed by the children (see the enclosed Power of attorney sent from Greece) in order for us all to give authority to my father to transfer/sell land in Greece on our behalf.*

*The Consular General in Adelaide had requested we send this document to you to draw up as my brother, Philip Stilianos lives in a different State to the rest of us and he will need to sign it. According to what I have been told tis will then be sent back to me in Adelaide and the rest of the children will need to sign the Power of Attorney at the Consular General in Adelaide.*

*All the full Birth Certificates and my parents Marriage Certificates is currently with the Consular General of Greece, in Brisbane, for certification, and once certified will be sent back home.*

*If you have any queries at all, please contact the Greek Consulate in Adelaide or myself, Stella Stiliano, on (08) 2596644 (Wk) or 3908017 (Home).*

*Yours sincerely,*

*Stella Stiliano (signed)*

*ca. June 1987*

*- Stealing of leasing document by Stan Itsines in 2001 with intent to commit fraud.*

Stan Itsines fraudulently stated that the land was in danger of being claimed by squatters and requested that I appoint him urgently as 'power of attorney' ( more precisely 'administrator'). However this was fraudulent deception because he knew all along that the land was secure because the POA had secured it with a leasing document.

When the PT asked him for this document in April 2004 *he denied knowledge of it.*

As a result of his denial of a leasing document I called a GB hearing so that I could expose him.

At the GB hearing on 26 Aug 2004 he would not indicate that there was any leasing document in

existence.

Following a district court hearing on 15 Nov 2005, I discovered among a set of documents he had inadvertently placed with mine, the original leasing document. This was witnessed by 4 people. He is thus guilty of attempting 'squatter-claim-fraud', which he had planned to perpetrate once his wife became Executor of my mother's estate.

Leasing document stolen by Stan Itsines well before GB hearing:

### LEASING DOCUMENT

Translated by Philip Stilianos. 12 Oct 2004.  
Needs proper translation.

===== TRANSLATION TO ENGLISH  
=====

LAND LEASE or FARM LEASE <ed: not sure which>

On the date today in 5 the month August of year 1999 between:

1) Steve Stiliano  
resident Adelaide Australia and,

2) Toutsy Savva  
resident Lahania, they were agreed the following:

1. First contracting they is householder of properties  
that appear in the following list that is found in  
Lahania.

<ed: **TABLE OF LIST OF 24 PROPERTIES**>

2. this real estates first contracting it lets in  
second for time interval of six (6) years, that begins  
**5-8-1999 and it expires 4-8-2005.**

3. rent is agreed the sum of Drachmas of \_\_\_\_ where  
it is due the \_\_\_\_ of month \_\_\_\_ of each locative year, apart from the rent of first year that was due  
at the signature of present.

**4. The lessee is compelled he cultivates the above real estates**

**with any culture of choice of this,**

**compelled looking after inside the lettings of fields**

**existing productive trees. it is compelled also**

**it protects this real estates from by any chance from squatters**

and it receives required offer measures for

protection of these being eligible it asks from the lessor  
judicial expenses in which by any chance it wants it is submitted.  
5. The lessee is compelled at the expiry of convention  
it attributes the letting. it is compelled also to attribute  
letting, after previously collects the fruits  
cultures that it will make in those of trees that  
they in exist in the real estates of this, provided that the lessor  
experts catholic successors of this receive authorisation of building for  
construction of any building in in the letting.

These agreed today contracting and are signed  
present as it follows

Date 5-8-1999

#### CONTRACTING

1. .... <ed:lessee signature>

2. Steve Stiliano <ed:lessor>

#### CERTIFICATION

Certified genuine the signatures

Date 5-8-1999

The MAYOR of SOUTHERN RHODES

HIS SECRETARY

Application submitted by me in 2001 to GB for protection of my mother:

### 'WHY IS AN ADMINISTRATOR NEEDED'

#### STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

**Justification**

An administrator is urgently required for my mother Erini Stiliano to protect her land in Rhodes Greece from being plundered by **squatters** or any other means. The land has been vacant for nearly 15-20 years.

She has advanced Alzheimer's.

My father died on March 20 this year and so any control of the land in Greece has disappeared.

The solicitor in Greece who has custody of the land titles has not provided any assistance since my father's death with regard to matters concerning my mother's property, and is resisting all attempts to obtain assistance and/or information.

Who is administering the land? Are there taxes or rates to be paid? Is the land leased? If so into whose bank account are the proceeds going to.

Are there **squatters** on the land who would claim ownership after 15-20 years of absence of interest by the owner?

<CLIPPED>

#### **Administrator Plan of Action**

Whoever the administrator is, the following must be carried immediately upon appointment:

1. Appoint a notary Greek solicitor in Australia to take measures to protect the land in Greece from any unauthorised dealings, confiscation due to non-payment of taxes, squatting, etc.
2. Obtain custody of all documents from the current Rhodes solicitor.
3. Meet any legal expenses from my mother's assets (bank, land).

In the longer term, sell the land at market value and transfer funds to an Australian account. Make appropriate purchases (car etc) for my mother's well being. If/when all funds transferred hand over administration to State Trustee.

The State Trustee must be able and willing to act decisively on the plan. From my discussions with the State Trustee our situation is not something they relish or wish to deal with.

Statement by Philip Stilianos

23 August 2001

This was read out by the GB to Stan Itsines and others at the initial GB hearing on 26 Aug 2001, but *Stan committed a criminal act of fraudulent intent by not disclosing the squatter-proof leasing document he had stolen.*

It is a known fact that in Rhodes a lessee does not disclose that he has a leasing document if the lessor is dead and nobody else knows about the lease, and the land has been unattended to for 15-20 years. There are no rates or taxes the owner pays in Rhodes, so as far as the occupants were concerned, the land was theirs for the asking merely by putting in a squatters claim. There was no way anyone could have even contested the squatters claims without that leasing document. Stan Itsines had concealed the lessor's original copy of the leasing document, and he could have given instructions to the 'lessee',

who knew my father had died, not to reveal he had the lessee's copy. Bingo - the land is all theirs absolutely free.

No solicitor was able or could have located that document. It was through chance that I was able to secure the leasing document. No one knew that I knew anyone in Rhodes. No one knew that the only person I knew in Greece was cousin in Rhodes that I went to high school/university with in Adelaide. I contacted him after 32 years, and by some fluke he was close friends with the son of the father who was suspected of holding the lease. My cousin commands a great deal of respect in Rhodes and the lessee admitted to him he was the lessee and produced the leasing document that Stan Itsines had concealed and withheld for a number of years from me and the Public Trustee.

Bingo - the land is no longer theirs.

Some months later the stolen lessor's copy of the leasing document was found in the thief Stan's possession. The events that led to this discovery were quite accidental.

Following is extract from transcript of 26 Aug 2005. The 'bingos' = incriminating statements. Note all the fraudulent contradictions. *Stan was not aware that three years earlier his fraudulent statements were immortalised in emails (see emails above).*

p5:LM: But it does appear that they are of some value. And, if there are squatters there I'd be reluctant to be sitting here doing nothing while she is losing money. But, I can't get a firm answer as to what is involved at the Greek end, so I can't really tell you one way or another whether we need to proceed quickly or it doesn't matter.	
p6:LM: I sent him an email just asking him what he knew. I understand he is a hotel proprietor. I asked him whether he knew there were squatters on the land. With the Olympics on I haven't had a response.	
p8:LG: You (Philip) go on to say "Protection of property against squatters. If I am not appointed as administrator then my mother will almost certainly lose her \$250K minimum of land in Greece to squatters. The squatters are now legally able to claim the land."	
p8:LG: Is that, Philip, because of the time they have spent on the land?	
ps: yes, 15years.	
lg: so , they have been squatting for some 15 years?	
ps: yes, at least.	
Stan Itsines: <b>there are no squatters.</b>	bingo
Stan Itsines: <b>there weren't any squatters, have to withdraw from there.</b>	bingo
ai: my father left these properties to each of his children.	bingo
Stan Itsines: <b>there weren't squatters, he should withdraw from that.</b>	bingo
Stan Itsines: <b>there was never, never squatters. Never squatters in these</b>	bingo

<b>properties.</b>	
Ig: how do you <b>know</b> that?	
Ig as far as the squatters are concerned?	
Stan Itsines: <b>the squatters. there is not squatters.</b>	bingo
Ig: how do you know that?	
Stan Itsines: <b>because I ask questions of my father-in-law and he told me.</b>	bingo
Ig: has your father-in-law visited the property?	
Stan Itsines: of course. <b>my father was visit three times and he get a person to listen to them. There is a person looks after those properties. So, as far as I know, is 100% guarantee, nothing will happen.</b>	bingo.
Ig: do you know the name of that person?	
Stan Itsines: <b>I don't want to say any names. Why i should say names? don't get paid. do i get paid for this?</b>	
Ig: it might help the Public Trustee.	
Stan Itsines: let's go from the start on this issue. remember years ago when i say to you this -	
Ig: no . we must work through this letter. and, the question was: how do you know that there are no squatters on the property? You have told me -	
Stan Itsines: <b>because his father has leased the properties to a person in Greece and looks after them</b> . First it was his cousin.	bingo
Ig: i asked you if you knew the name of that person because that person could then be contacted by Public Trustee and could confirm if there is a problem with squatters or not. and if there isn't there is no urgency to deal with the property.	
Stan Itsines: it is "athinagouras"	
Ig: you can provide this information -	
Stan Itsines: <b>i just provide one name. I'm sorry dear. I'm not here to provide anything which i know.</b>	
Stan Itsines: I'm saying, athinagouras was his nephew.	
Stan Itsines: <b>yes. and, he was looking after those properties and nothing happened to them.</b>	bingo
Ig: do you know if he is still looking after those properties?	
Stan Itsines: no, his father-in-law of that person looks after them. I don't know the name of the father-in-law.	

Ig: do you know his name?	
Stan Itsines: but, the father-in-law of athinagouras looks after the property.	
Ig: Philip , have you heard of this arrangement before.	
ps: i haven't. i world like to see it in writing with the names of the people to explain the property is secure.	
Stan Itsines: When their father died, i said to <b>them</b> : Mr Salamastrakis is not probably the best lawyer in Greece, but because he knows a lot of details, don't try to change him because you might have problems. now, what they did, they send him emails demanding to release information. <b>But the only properties which Mr Salamastrakis knows exactly who belongs is, is our properties (Anna's)</b>	bingo

Noun: **fraud**

- 1.Intentional deception resulting in injury to another person
- 2.A person who makes deceitful pretences
- 3.Something intended to deceive; deliberate trickery intended to gain an advantage

Philip Stilianos  
Ph 03 9569 2342  
COMPOSED MAY 2005  
Melbourne, Australia





## South Australia Police

P.D. 1B

*'Leading the way to a safer community'*

### FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

<b>TO:</b> <b>NAME:</b> Philip Stilianos <b>TITLE:</b> <b>LOCATION:</b> <b>FAX NO.:</b> 03 8610 0078 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>ROUTINE</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>URGENT</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>IN CONFIDENCE</b>	<b>FROM:</b> <b>NAME:</b> Mark Golding <b>TITLE:</b> Detective Sergeant <b>LOCATION:</b> Major Fraud Section <b>FAX NO.:</b> (08) 8463 7460 <b>PHONE NO.:</b> (08) 8463 7449 <b>TIME:</b> 14:04 <b>DATE:</b> 6/8/2005 <b>PAGE 1 OF PAGE(S)</b>
---	--

The information contained in this facsimile is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document and or its attachment is unauthorised. Please telephone the number given as soon as possible and then return it by mail to South Australia Police, GPO Box 1539, Adelaide SA 5001. We shall refund your reasonable costs of doing so.

#### COMMENTS:

Dear Philip

I have read and assessed the e-mail you sent to the Commercial and Electronic Crime Branch on 21 July 2005 concerning allegations that Stan Itsines has committed fraud against your mother, Erini Stiliano.

After reading your e-mail I contacted the Public Trustee's office in South Australia. I have been advised that the Guardianship Board will be conducting an administration review on 28 August 2005. Notification of this administration review will be sent to you.

The Major Fraud Section of the South Australia Police will not be conducting any enquiries in relation to your complaint. If you have any grievances in relation to the issues mentioned in your e-mail, you should raise these with the Guardianship Board's administration review.

Your sincerely

Mark Golding  
 Detective Sergeant, No. 05074  
 Major Fraud Section



Government  
 of South Australia

Revised Date: 3/11/1998



## Philip S

---

**From:** philip s [philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Monday, 8 August 2005 11:52 PM  
**To:** SA Police Fraud squad (SAPOL.CECB@police.sa.gov.au)  
**Cc:** Lesley McPharlin (mcpharlin.lesley@saugov.sa.gov.au); Guardianship Board  
**Subject:** Attention Mark Golding  
**Attachments:** 2004\_12\_20\_PT\_re\_challenge\_funds\_query\_p2.jpg

Hello Mark

I received your brief fax report in response to the 24 pages of compelling evidence I submitted to you.

I don't have the expertise or nerves to pursue alleged felons at hearings where the Board members do not see it as being in their job descriptions to examine such allegations.

Attached is document dated 20 Dec 2004 addressed to me from the current Public Trustee Crown Solicitor who states:

*"...the Public Trustee has **no interest** in pursuing these matters. **Public Trustee has no objection to you reporting your concerns to South Australia police.**"*

On the basis of this I submitted my report to the Police directly. Now the police say that that same Public Trustee officer says it's not for the police to handle. What the heck ( I had a more apt different word in mind) is going on ?

I think the Guardianship Board and Public Trustee are in the same boat as far as dealing with felonious intentions - as far as I know they have had no actual cases or precedents in this area. That is why the task is a Police one - no one wants to be doing Police interrogations at a Guardianship Board hearing. I think the interrogations should be done outside of the hearings by Police. The perpetrators talk to the Board contemptuously at hearings and have learnt that they can lie to the Boards without any repercussions. The Police are the only ones who could command respect and be able to extract admission of frauds.

I will not attend any more hearings where an alledged felon/s are permitted to utter false statements, and in which the Board does not seek as a matter of procedure to establish truth.

At least somebody examine the two letters (attached) claiming properties for fraudulent intent. Once the letters are officially dismissed by the Public Trustee or Police the perpetrators will no longer present themselves.

The Public Trustee has these letters but is too afraid or unwilling to confront the perpetrators ( see their quote above).

I have received legal opinion that the Public Trustee is duty bound to examine the claims. The Public Trustee seems determined to have the perpetrators have their own way.

My opinion is that I am quiet by nature and heavily outnumbered by the loud aggressive Adelaide perpetrators and that the Public Trustee is hiding behind the safety of numbers and the safety of my remoteness in Melbourne.

Is that what is meant by the scales of justice - those with the numbers tip the beam balance their way.

Philip Stilianos  
8-Aug-2005

IMAGED

Likewise, it appears that the other matters raised in your email relate to potential legal issues arising after the death of Ms Stiliano and therefore Public Trustee has no interest in pursuing these matters. Public Trustee has no objection to you reporting your concerns to South Australia police.

I recommend you obtain independent legal advice at your own expense should you wish to pursue the testamentary issues further.

I trust this clarifies the situation.

yours faithfully

**CROWN SOLICITOR**

per:







# South Australia Police

P.D. 1B

*'Leading the way to a safer community'*

## FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

TO:

NAME: Philip Stilianos

TITLE:

LOCATION:

FAX NO.: 03 8610 0078

 ROUTINE
  URGENT
  IN CONFIDENCE

FROM:

NAME: Mark Golding

TITLE: Detective Sergeant

LOCATION: Major Fraud Section

FAX NO.: (08) 8463 7460

PHONE NO.: (08) 8463 7449 TIME: 17:45

DATE: 10/8/2005 PAGE 1 OF PAGE(S)

The information contained in this facsimile is confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document and or its attachment is unauthorised. Please telephone the number given as soon as possible and then return it by mail to South Australia Police, GPO Box 1539, Adelaide SA 5001. We shall refund your reasonable costs of doing so.

### COMMENTS:

Dear Philip

Thank you for your e-mail of 8 August 2005. As a result of your e-mail, I have again contacted the Public Trustee's office.

I have been advised that the Public Trustee was appointed limited administrator over the affairs of Erini Stiliano in 2001 and that in August of 2004 a review was conducted in which nothing untoward was uncovered. I have also been advised that you have lodged an appeal in the District Court in relation to these issues and that this appeal was unsuccessful.

I understand that you have also made a complaint to the Ombudsman's Office and that the Ombudsman was unable to identify any issues that should be pursued.

I am satisfied that the affairs of Erini Stiliano are being appropriately handled by the Public Trustee. I am confident that the Public Trustee and Guardianship Board have explored all of the issues that you have raised with them and that no criminal activity has been identified. I am certain that the Public Trustee and the Guardianship Board would advise the South Australia Police if any criminal activity was to come to light.

It is the Public Trustee and the Guardianship Board that have jurisdiction over the issues that you have raised. Therefore, the South Australia Police will not be conducting any investigation into these issues.



GOVERNMENT  
of South Australia

-2-

As previously advised, the Guardianship Board will be conducting an administration review on 28 August 2005. I strongly urge you to raise your concerns at this administration review as this is the most appropriate forum for you to do so.

Yours sincerely



Mark Golding  
Detective Sergeant, No. 05074  
Major Fraud Section



Government  
of South Australia



## Philip S

---

**From:** philip s [philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 11 August 2005 1:11 PM  
**To:** SA Police Fraud squad (SAPOL.CECB@police.sa.gov.au)  
**Subject:** Erini Stiliano - confidential. Attention Mark Golding

Hello Mark

I don't think you should be talking to Lesley McPharlin - Crown Solicitor with the Public Trustee.

Could you leave Lesley McPharlin out of it because she has a serious conflict of interest in assisting the person I am accusing of fraud.

Lesley has opposed my mother's will since she started as a contractor in July 2004 and has encouraged and advised Stan Itsines to challenge it. She has had secret communications with him (letters which I discovered accidentally in his possession) advising him she would oppose my application to administer my mother's overseas estate.

As a consequence of her covert actions I applied to the Ombudsman to have Lesley removed.

The Ombudsman supported my intention to submit a statement to the Police.

However they inexplicably sought opinion on my statements of fraud from Lesley McPharlin and when they did that I withdrew my application as clearly the Ombudsman was seeking opinion on fraud from the very person who was the subject of complaint because of her unwillingness to deal with fraud.

The Ombudsman itself has never made any examination or opinion on fraud.

The Guardianship Board had been influenced by Lesley of the need to challenge the will - I have had legal opinions saying that she was way out of line.

The Board has never examined or made any comment regarding fraud.

Fraud was not mentioned either at the Guardianship Board hearing of August 2004. That was merely an application by me to be administrator.

The District Court hearing of Nov 2004 had a different agenda - to do with administrator control. I did not even mention fraud. No one at the hearing even made reference to it. The judge wasn't in the least bit interested. His sole aim was to determine who was to be administrator, and he insisted that because I was my mother's sole beneficiary of her residual estate then I should not be administrator. Work that one out - I'm still scratching my head :). The judge was not interested in probing anyone.

You have been seriously misled by a person who to me has personal prejudices and has been/is cooperating with Stan Itsines and his wife.

I don't mind if you do nothing for now, but I do *not* want the SA Police to think that this matter of fraud has been examined and decided on by other government departments/Courts.

It hasn't. It never has. And they never will.

You are the very first to actually evaluate it and make some enquiries and I thank you for that.

It can lay dormant for now - it does not even have to be raised at the Guardianship Board hearing. If you check with the Guardianship Board I'm am sure they will say to you that that is not how they do their hearings. If they see an argument developing over an allegation then they say that's it, meeting over, everything stays as it is! That's how these hearings have been handled. No one is under oath, and there is no one to cross-examine or interrogate.

When my mother passes away the Public Trustee and Guardianship automatically cease to function and the Executors of the Will take over. The executors are those with whom Lesley has been cooperating closely to oppose my mother's will. Both Executors (Anna Itsines and Gary Stiliano) have sworn to diminish the value of the overseas estate as much as possible to harm me and to use Greek solicitors to do it. Anna Itsines is an executor and she is Stan's wife. She and he are in this together as one.

It is at that point that I would resubmit my fraud statement to the Police.

At that point also the Public Trustee and Guardianship Board will have no interest in the matter because they will no longer have any jurisdiction.

And on their files there will be no mention of any investigation into fraud. All that is on file is that they recommended to Philip Stilianos that he approach the police directly himself, and a statement that they did not want to get involved.

The fraud allegations have never been investigated Mark. The SA Police are the first and only ones who will look at it. It took years to investigate and compile it into the more understandable format it is now. I have only submitted it because Stan and his wife Anna have stated determination to hatch Plan X. I don't know what Plan X is, but so far I have thwarted all their previous fraudulent plans which would have resulted in the estate going into their hands directly or laundered via arrangements with planted squatters. I was hoping that a good Police interrogation on past frauds and a warning would at least cause them to drop Plan X.

It's crucial that you do not think that this matter has been dealt with.

Ask Lesley for written proof that the fraud has been investigated. You won't see a thing from her. It's too easy to tell a bunch of mistruths over the phone. That's why I prefer email and faxing. Ask the Guardianship Board, the District Court, the Ombudsman - nothing.

Could you leave Lesley McPharlin out of it because she has a serious conflict of interest in assisting the person I am accusing of fraud.

This is long term felony. I'm doing my best to make sure it does not get unnoticed or swept under the rug.

Remember above all that you have the total support of the Guardianship Board and Public Trustee to carry out this investigation of fraud allegations. You can safely dismiss contradictory roguish statements.

Philip Stilianos  
11-August-2005

Phone 08 84640426  
Fax: 03 86100078



## Philip S

---

**From:** philip s [philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 24 May 2006 12:51 PM  
**To:** SA Police Fraud squad (SAPOL.CECB@police.sa.gov.au)  
**Subject:** Erini Stiliano - Fraud Investigation Fiasco of Ministerial Ramifications  
**Attachments:** 2004\_12\_20\_PT\_re\_challenge\_funds\_query\_p2.jpg; police report faxed 10 Aug 2005.pdf; statement\_to\_police.htm; witness\_statement\_no\_family\_conflict.jpg

To  
SA Police Fraud Squad

My mother passed away this year on the 7 March 2006.

The Public Trustee and Guardianship Board no longer has jurisdiction over her estate. This is now in the hands of the Executor, whose duty it is to distribute the estate to me, but instead is intent on 'claiming' a substantial amount in her capacity as Executor.

The material submitted to the SA Fraud Squad last year may be required to be activated if necessary, as I intend to remove the Executor, please ensure that the following matter of an incorrect police 'report' is attended to urgently.

Please note that contrary to the police report response no government departments ever investigated any of the allegations made in the attached 24 page statement submitted to the police last year. This is supported by prime witnesses who were involved, who are flabbergasted and dismayed at the false statements made to the police by a particular Crown Solicitor officer and the acceptance of these without question or supporting evidence by the police. My family hoped and hoped for someone to take an interest but there was in fact total disinterest, so I finally approached the Police on the advice of the Crown Solicitor officer herself.

No departments ever corresponded in any form over the unpalatable fraud allegations. This is also confirmed by the Crown Solicitor officer in the attached letter dated 15 Dec 2004, which states: *'Public Trustee has **no interest** in pursuing these matters. Public Trustee has **no objections to you reporting your objections to South Australian Police.*** The Crown Solicitor officer was directed by a senior public trustee officer, Mr Tony Delonno, to respond to my allegations, and that was the response - that they had no interest in it. Everyone just presumptuously dismissed it as a 'family conflict', an incorrect assumption, and so made no effort to investigate. I obtained a statement from a prime witness in August 2005 dismissing the 'family conflict' notion. This statement is attached.

However about 7 months later in Aug 2005 it is the same Crown Solicitor contracted officer that stopped the investigation, when the police phoned or contacted her, when it was apparent to the officer concerned that I was closing in and that certain 'arrangements' or undertakings the solicitor had with the nominated Executor were going to be in tatters.

Note that this Solicitor's two predecessors were very cooperative with me and allowed me access privileges to their estate investigations as they considered that I had a 'beneficiary interest'. The problems occurred when this new crown solicitor arrived in 2004, some two years and four months into the administration of my mother's estate, and for some

inexplicable reason shut off communications with me and pro-actively took the side of the estate's potential challengers. Documents a number of us accidentally discovered in the possession of the 'challengers', sent to them by this crown solicitor officer but not to me, prove this. *That's some mighty conflict - the solicitor telling the police not to investigate the people she was assisting!!*

Please ensure that these 'misunderstandings' between the and particular Crown Solicitor officer and Police officer concerned regarding departmental investigations does not hinder the investigation when and if it is required. The police in their report state an expectation of fraud investigation by ordinary public servants, but the reality is what ordinary public servant is going to put themselves at risk of stress and trauma and physical threats and verbal abuse, most have no experience at all in fraud and scams in general as again illustrated by the above statement by the PT viz *Trustee has **no interest** in pursuing these matters. Public Trustee has **no objections to you reporting your objections to South Australian Police.***

Because it's *quite easily verified that no investigations took place*, just by contacting the departments, a retraction must be issued as soon as possible as it impacts on my case to remove the Executor.

I have been deciding whether to go directly to the Premier on this inter-departmental fraud jurisdiction issue, however I would like the matter to be resolved right here as my objective is solely thwarting the Executor's nefarious activities.

Could you please rectify the erroneous police document attached, and communicate same to me. Just a statement simply saying that nothing has been investigated is sufficient for me to ensure the estate is properly handled.

Remember above all that none of the departments has ever stated, either verbally or in writing, that an investigation took place. The crown solicitor officer's verbal (presumably verbal) statement to police is news to everyone. It is a lie of a very serious nature, worthy itself of investigation - however that's not my objective - maybe that of others but not mine.

Philip Stilianos  
24 May 2006



## Philip S

---

**From:** philip s [philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 27 June 2006 7:44 PM  
**To:** 'SA Police Fraud squad (SAPOL.CECB@police.sa.gov.au)'  
**Subject:** Erini Stiliano - Re Police Fraud Investigation Report dated  
**Attachments:** police report faxed 10 Aug 2005.pdf

To 'SA Police Fraud squad ([SAPOL.CECB@police.sa.gov.au](mailto:SAPOL.CECB@police.sa.gov.au))':

I contacted both the Guardianship Board and Ombudsman by phone on 20 June 2006.

Both said they said they did no fraud investigation ever.

This contradicts Crown solicitor officer Lesley McPahrlin who told you around early August 2005 they did and went out of her way to successfully stop you from doing the fraud investigation.

I had berated her on previous occasions for having developing a 'relationship' with the person/s I accuse of committing fraud, and for betraying my mother by personally advising these persons' in a personal letter to them that she would prevent my application to be my mother's administrator succeeding. I had also berated her for assisting them by advising them that funds would be provided for a Will challenge.

This support for for the beneficiary's oponents, I believe, as to the Crown Solicitor motives for stopping the fraud squad from investigating the case - she was apparently personally committed to their cause.



## Philip S

---

**From:** Thomas, Shane (SAPOL) [Shane.Thomas@police.sa.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 5 March 2008 3:58 PM  
**To:** philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au  
**Subject:** FW: Withdraw Property Lawsuit on Lahania Property 671 ( date error fixes )

Philip Stilianos,

I confirm that the Major Fraud Investigation Section which forms a part of the Commercial and Electronic Crime Branch have received your email. Unfortunately, I do not have any contact details for you other than your email address.

Please contact me if you wish to discuss this matter further.

### Detective Brevet Sergeant Shane Thomas

Major Fraud Investigation Section (156)

South Australia Police

60 Wakefield Street

ADELAIDE SA 5000

T 08 8463 7476 F 08 8463 7460

E [www.police.sa.gov.au](http://www.police.sa.gov.au)

**SOUTH AUSTRALIA POLICE**

**KEEPING SA SAFE**

The information contained in this message and any attachment is confidential and may also be the subject of legal, professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document and or its attachments is unauthorised. Please advise us by reply and then delete it from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of SAPOL.

---

**From:** philip s [mailto:philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Tue 4/03/2008 3:28 PM  
**To:** Dimitrios Salamastrakis (Plaintiff's Rhodes Lawyer)  
**Cc:** McCarthy, Phil (PT); SAPOL:Commercial & Electronic Crime; Sinkinson, Keith (PT); Stella (Sister); M Fourtuni (Rhodes Lawyer)  
**Subject:** Withdraw Property Lawsuit on Lahania Property 671 ( date error fixes )

Dear Mr Salamastrakis

Would you please withdraw the secret lawsuit today to prevent inevitable Australian criminal fraud charges against perpetrators Anna, Stan (your clients since 2001) and Gary.

They no longer have any legal support from anyone and no government body would want to be associated with them now in Australia. The Australian court dumped them as Executors after I exposed their fraud and the court validated me as the sole heir of my mother's properties as per my mother's will of 1993.

I am the sole beneficiary of my mother's estate in Greece and have taken steps since 2001 to protect my mother's properties against squatters and against fraudulent acquisition by two of my siblings and brother-in-law.

A 24-page statement submitted to Australian police in 2005 indicated very strong motives for Stan to commit fraud, and the statement accurately predicted that Stan would arrange a squatter lawsuit once his wife Anna became executor.

In 2006 and 2007, the perpetrators Anna and Stan actually executed their fraudulent intentions. They committed a fraudulent squatter crime by giving permission to their various

partners-in-crime in Rhodes to cooperate and invent a standard squatter story with the aim of defrauding me of my inheritance.

The Australian perpetrators also simultaneously deceived the South Australian Public Trustee, and deceived the South Australian Supreme Court and committed forgeries and perjuries in affidavits presented to the court.

Their sole aims as Executors were to stop issue of probate to Greece, and to prevent any routine essential basic protection for any of the properties. This was very suspicious and incriminating behaviour, and these aims were essential in order to facilitate profit-sharing fabricated secret lawsuits.

They even issued an urgent injunction order against me with threat of imprisonment if I investigated any properties. That only served to implicate and incriminate them beyond question.

They stated in 2004 in two court hearings that the properties were 100% secure against squatters ever since 1987. The SA Government and Public Trustee believed them. The courts' transcripts provide a number of pages of detailed evidence from Stan on how perfectly secure the properties always were against squatters. This is in fact the truth - there never were any squatters on any of my mother's properties and you and many others in the village Lahania and Rhodes personally know this.

My father's anti-squatter leasing document prove his awareness of squatters. My father's passports prove his frequent anti-squatter missions. My father's Power of Attorney authority prove his task assigned by my mother was to manage her properties. Stan's intimate knowledge of Greek anti-squatting protection and very close friendship and communications with my father proves Stan had detailed knowledge of that there was absolute protection of all the properties at all times since they became my mother's properties in 1987.

If you wish to spare Anna and Stan and Gary from a reactivation of the suspended 2005 police action, please advise the squatter lawyer not to proceed with the lawsuit.

Your personal interest in acquiring property 671 in 1988 also indicates that the beach-front property was highly desirable and valuable and it's ownership well-known. The transfer of another property, property 624, in 1996 will also be investigated, because you refused to provide me with the letter that purportedly gifted the property to Anna.

My estimates for today's values of the properties are: property 671 - over \$A200,000, and property 624 - over \$A500,000. These are massive liabilities for the Australian perpetrators to bear, and they would not allow Theofilis to proceed with her fraudulent lawsuit once they are made aware of their liability should 671 fall. If Theofilis does not withdraw then any of the perpetrators would eventually confess, under interrogation from Australian police, to arranging the fraudulent lawsuit, and this would thereby invalidate Theofilis's fabricated lawsuit.

Yours Sincerely

Philip Stilianos  
4 March 2008



## Philip S

---

**From:** philip s [philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 6 March 2008 11:57 AM  
**To:** 'Thomas, Shane (SAPOL)'  
**Cc:** Keith Sinkinson (Public Trustee Estates Manager); Phil McCarthy (Public Trustee Estates Officer)  
**Subject:** RE: Withdraw Property Lawsuit on Lahania Property 671 )

Shane Thomas

Further to our phone conversation, this matter of fraud was never investigated by any department or person.

The person who advised you in Feb 2008, presumably during the SA Public Trustee corruption government inquiry, that everything was unchanged since 2005 should be sacked.

It seems everyone but your police are aware of what has occurred in the last two years, and the corrupt Public Trustee is intent on misleading you to protect themselves.

As I indicated to you, this current matter was to be initially presented to the anti-corruption police so that they could investigate why you did not proceed with the fraud investigation in 2005.

The Public Trustee officer Lesley McPharlin who advised you to stop the fraud investigation has been terminated for falsifying probate, and interfering with the Probate Registrar, and she also lied to you when she said the matter had been investigated by other departments.

The anti-corruption police will be presented with details of Public Trustee corruption, especially how Ms McPharlin corruptly advised you to stop the investigation.

There is no record anywhere that the fraud had ever been investigated. Why don't you ask for hard evidence of that? Isn't that basic police procedure?

The property fraud that has occurred in Greece would never have occurred had the police exercised due diligence in 2005.

There is time now to stop the fraud taking place if you can undertake the fraud investigation. No other government department has or ever will be in a position to investigate fraud.

The Public Trustee's (Ms McPharlin) advice in 2005 in a letter was that I should personally present the fraud matter to you because they had no interest in it. Six months later when I prepared and submitted the report to you and sent a copy to Ms McPharlin she must have panicked committed further corruption.

The matter of SA corruption is even more serious than the property fraud.

Regards

Philip Stilianos.

---

**From:** Thomas, Shane (SAPOL) [mailto:Shane.Thomas@police.sa.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 5 March 2008 3:58 PM  
**To:** philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au

**Subject:** FW: Withdraw Property Lawsuit on Lahania Property 671 ( date error fixes )

Philip Stilianos,

I confirm that the Major Fraud Investigation Section which forms a part of the Commercial and Electronic Crime Branch have received your email. Unfortunately, I do not have any contact details for you other than your email address.

Please contact me if you wish to discuss this matter further.

**Detective Brevet Sergeant Shane Thomas**

Major Fraud Investigation Section (156)

South Australia Police

60 Wakefield Street

ADELAIDE SA 5000

T 08 8463 7476 F 08 8463 7460

E [www.police.sa.gov.au](http://www.police.sa.gov.au)

**SOUTH AUSTRALIA POLICE**

**KEEPING SA SAFE**

The information contained in this message and any attachment is confidential and may also be the subject of legal, professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document and or its attachments is unauthorised. Please advise us by reply and then delete it from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of SAPOL.

---

**From:** philip s [mailto:philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]

**Sent:** Tue 4/03/2008 3:28 PM

**To:** Dimitrios Salamastrakis (Plaintiff's Rhodes Lawyer)

**Cc:** McCarthy, Phil (PT); SAPOL:Commercial & Electronic Crime; Sinkinson, Keith (PT); Stella (Sister); M Fourtuni (Rhodes Lawyer)

**Subject:** Withdraw Property Lawsuit on Lahania Property 671 ( date error fixes )

Dear Mr Salamastrakis

Would you please withdraw the secret lawsuit today to prevent inevitable Australian criminal fraud charges against perpetrators Anna, Stan (your clients since 2001) and Gary.

They no longer have any legal support from anyone and no government body would want to be associated with them now in Australia. The Australian court dumped them as Executors after I exposed their fraud and the court validated me as the sole heir of my mother's properties as per my mother's will of 1993.

I am the sole beneficiary of my mother's estate in Greece and have taken steps since 2001 to protect my mother's properties against squatters and against fraudulent acquisition by two of my siblings and brother-in-law.

A 24-page statement submitted to Australian police in 2005 indicated very strong motives for Stan to commit fraud, and the statement accurately predicted that Stan would arrange a squatter lawsuit once his wife Anna became executor.

In 2006 and 2007, the perpetrators Anna and Stan actually executed their fraudulent intentions. They committed a fraudulent squatter crime by giving permission to their various partners-in-crime in Rhodes to cooperate and invent a standard squatter story with the aim of defrauding me of my inheritance.

The Australian perpetrators also simultaneously deceived the South Australian Public Trustee, and deceived the South Australian Supreme Court and committed forgeries and perjuries in affidavits presented to the court.

Their sole aims as Executors were to stop issue of probate to Greece, and to prevent any

routine essential basic protection for any of the properties. This was very suspicious and incriminating behaviour, and these aims were essential in order to facilitate profit-sharing fabricated secret lawsuits.

They even issued an urgent injunction order against me with threat of imprisonment if I investigated any properties. That only served to implicate and incriminate them beyond question.

They stated in 2004 in two court hearings that the properties were 100% secure against squatters ever since 1987. The SA Government and Public Trustee believed them. The courts' transcripts provide a number of pages of detailed evidence from Stan on how perfectly secure the properties always were against squatters. This is in fact the truth - there never were any squatters on any of my mother's properties and you and many others in the village Lahania and Rhodes personally know this.

My father's anti-squatter leasing document prove his awareness of squatters. My father's passports prove his frequent anti-squatter missions. My father's Power of Attorney authority prove his task assigned by my mother was to manage her properties. Stan's intimate knowledge of Greek anti-squatting protection and very close friendship and communications with my father proves Stan had detailed knowledge of that there was absolute protection of all the properties at all times since they became my mother's properties in 1987.

If you wish to spare Anna and Stan and Gary from a reactivation of the suspended 2005 police action, please advise the squatter lawyer not to proceed with the lawsuit.

Your personal interest in acquiring property 671 in 1988 also indicates that the beach-front property was highly desirable and valuable and it's ownership well-known. The transfer of another property, property 624, in 1996 will also be investigated, because you refused to provide me with the letter that purportedly gifted the property to Anna.

My estimates for today's values of the properties are: property 671 - over \$A200,000, and property 624 - over \$A500,000. These are massive liabilities for the Australian perpetrators to bear, and they would not allow Theofilis to proceed with her fraudulent lawsuit once they are made aware of their liability should 671 fall. If Theofilis does not withdraw then any of the perpetrators would eventually confess, under interrogation from Australian police, to arranging the fraudulent lawsuit, and this would thereby invalidate Theofilis's fabricated lawsuit.

Yours Sincerely

Philip Stilianos  
4 March 2008



## Philip S

---

**From:** Thomas, Shane (SAPOL) [Shane.Thomas@police.sa.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 6 March 2008 11:56 AM  
**To:** philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au  
**Subject:** Stilianos Estate

Dear Philip

This matter was previously assessed by the Major Fraud Investigation Section on 18 February 2008.

As a result of that assessment correspondence was forwarded to you late February 2008 advising that Major Fraud Section of the South Australia Police will not be conducting any enquiries in relation to your complaint and that the appropriate contact for you is the Public Trustee.

Your recent email does not change that position.

Yours sincerely,

**Detective Brevet Sergeant Shane Thomas**

Major Fraud Investigation Section (156)

South Australia Police

60 Wakefield Street

ADELAIDE SA 5000

T 08 8463 7476 F 08 8463 7460

E [www.police.sa.gov.au](http://www.police.sa.gov.au)

**SOUTH AUSTRALIA POLICE**

**KEEPING SA SAFE**

The information contained in this message and any attachment is confidential and may also be the subject of legal, professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document and or its attachments is unauthorised. Please advise us by reply and then delete it from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of SAPOL.



## Philip S

---

**From:** philip s [philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 6 March 2008 12:05 PM  
**To:** 'Thomas, Shane (SAPOL)'  
**Cc:** Keith Sinkinson (Public Trustee Estates Manager)  
**Subject:** RE: Stilianos Estate

Dear Shane

I was not aware any investigation had been done and I did not receive any assessment correspondence.

Could you reissue it to me please?

The matter of Public Trustee corruption will go to the anti-corruption police as advised by a Channel 7 reporter to me in March/April 2007.

Philip Stilianos

---

**From:** Thomas, Shane (SAPOL) [mailto:Shane.Thomas@police.sa.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 6 March 2008 11:56 AM  
**To:** philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au  
**Subject:** Stilianos Estate

Dear Philip

This matter was previously assessed by the Major Fraud Investigation Section on 18 February 2008.

As a result of that assessment correspondence was forwarded to you late February 2008 advising that Major Fraud Section of the South Australia Police will not be conducting any enquiries in relation to your complaint and that the appropriate contact for you is the Public Trustee.

Your recent email does not change that position.

Yours sincerely,

**Detective Brevet Sergeant Shane Thomas**

Major Fraud Investigation Section (156)

South Australia Police

60 Wakefield Street

ADELAIDE SA 5000

T 08 8463 7476 F 08 8463 7460

E [www.police.sa.gov.au](http://www.police.sa.gov.au)

**SOUTH AUSTRALIA POLICE**

**KEEPING SA SAFE**

The information contained in this message and any attachment is confidential and may also be the subject of legal, professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document and or its attachments is unauthorised. Please advise us by reply and then delete it from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of SAPOL.



## Philip S

---

**From:** Dorothy Hoverd [dot.hovey@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 13 March 2008 3:12 PM  
**To:** Phillip Stilianos  
**Subject:** Fw: Erini Stiliano Estate - Fraud Investigation Interference by Public Trustee.  
**Attachments:** Evidence of Squatter Property Fraud.pdf; 2008 Greek Squatter Lawsuit.pdf; Public Trustee indicates it has no interest in fraud investigatons.pdf

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Dorothy Hovey](mailto:Dorothy.Hovey)  
**To:** [Shane.Thomas@police.sa.gov.au](mailto:Shane.Thomas@police.sa.gov.au)  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 08, 2008 2:42 PM  
**Subject:** Erini Stiliano Estate - Fraud Investigation Interference by Public Trustee.

Dear Shane

Further to my conversation with you this morning, as requested here are the details of the following correspondence from the Public Trustee to Philip Stilianos.

This correspondence (contained in attachment to this email) states:

***"..Public Trustee has no interest in pursuing these matters. Public Trustee has no objection to you reporting your concerns to South Australia police. I trust this clarifies the situation."***

I hope this clarifies the situation for the police to now proceed with the fraud investigation, and not contact the Public Trustee who have been advised previously that they will be brought under investigation for corrupting the police and the probate registrar.

I will be visiting Adelaide shortly with Philip, to investigate this matter further.

Dorothy Hoverd  
8/3/2008

---

**From:** philip s [mailto:philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 6 March 2008 5:08 PM  
**To:** Phil McCarthy (Public Trustee Estates Officer)  
**Cc:** SA Police Fraud squad; Keith Sinkinson (Public Trustee Estates Manager)  
**Subject:** Erini Stiliano Estate - Organised Property Fraud requires investigation by Police

To Phil McCarthy

Your office and the police have an abundance of evidence to justify a fraud investigation, but are steadfastly refusing to do so for reasons you have kept to yourselves. In the face of compelling evidence and logic I get a response with no evidence and no logic, or do not get a response at all much to my frustration. I possess considerable maths and IT expertise so I'm sure I can understand simple logical arguments.

Could you kindly tell me who prompted the SA Police to do an assessment of 'this matter' on 18 Feb 2008 (just recently)?

It was not me as I have not been in touch with the police for over two years, and no one had any

right or authorisation to instruct or advise the police on how to process my case without my involvement. There is over two years of evidence yet to be added to the 2005 submission. I consider this one-sided assessment as quite perturbing. To which address was the assessment sent? I did not receive it so I would like it reissued ASAP.

Mr McCarthy, could you now please refer the fraudulent lawsuit perpetrated by three South Australian citizens to the SA police. You were provided with partial proof recently (**see email attachment**) that the perpetrators did it but you did not respond. **The lawsuit (attached) is to be heard on 22 May 2008 so this matter should be dealt with immediately** so that the lawsuit can be withdrawn by the perpetrators' accomplices once they know the police are involved.

The perpetrators always said to me and my younger sister that they were going to ravage the estate as Executors so there is no reason or evidence to believe that they did not do it. This is the world's worst kept secret.

As you are aware, the Public Trustee is not concerned with interfering with my fraud allegations. **See your letter of 15 Dec 2004 attached which states same.** This letter provides conclusive proof that your Department and any others involved in my mother's administration had similarly never dealt with these fraud allegations.

I confirmed this by talking to the Guardianship Board and Ombudsman.

The submission to SAPOL was my private fraud allegations against private perpetrators.

You will find nothing in any transcripts that even mentions the word 'fraud'. These departments dealt solely with property administration and prevention of squatter lawsuits. They satisfied themselves that, based on the perpetrators evidence, that the properties were 100% secure against squatters. My application for administrator had failed on the grounds that the properties were already protected.

That's all the 'matters' referred to by McPharlin were about - they never were about fraud. So for the police not to do a fraud investigation on the basis that I was denied the right to protect my mother's estate against squatters is ludicrous - and very ironic since it is the denial of the protection of the properties that has now led to this property lawsuit for non-protection of property.

Now that a squatter lawsuit has emerged, it does not take rocket science to figure out who was behind it. Throw in motive, forgery, probate and court manipulation that has occurred since 2005 and that the police are not even aware of.

If you are unable, due to your illegitimate obligations to external parties, to exercise your duty of care towards me as prime beneficiary then you leave me with no alternative but to refer this matter and this correspondence to the anti-corruption police. They would be requested to investigate the false claims by Crown solicitor Ms McPharlin that the fraud matters were handled by the Guardianship Board, the District Court and the Ombudsman. I ascertained that there is not a single document from any of these departments which point to the slightest interest in fraud investigation.

As you know, Ms McPharlin's personal mission was to support the perpetrators and to sabotage my inheritance. She not only corrupted the police investigation into fraud, but also falsified probate assets and corrupted the Probate Registrar. She was then removed from her office after my ministerial inquiry submission to the Attorney-General in 2007.

If you have any evidence that any fraud investigation was ever carried out by anyone as indicated by McPharlin, let me see the evidence, and I'll donate the estate to the Royal Adelaide Children's Hospital.

Philip Stilianos  
6 Mar 2008

M: 0423678601

---

**From:** Thomas, Shane (SAPOL) [mailto:Shane.Thomas@police.sa.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 6 March 2008 11:56 AM  
**To:** philstil2005-home@yahoo.com.au  
**Subject:** Stilianos Estate

Dear Philip

This matter was previously assessed by the Major Fraud Investigation Section on 18 February 2008. As a result of that assessment correspondence was forwarded to you late February 2008 advising that Major Fraud Section of the South Australia Police will not be conducting any enquiries in relation to your complaint and that the appropriate contact for you is the Public Trustee. Your recent email does not change that position.

Yours sincerely,

**Detective Brevet Sergeant Shane Thomas**

Major Fraud Investigation Section (156)

South Australia Police

60 Wakefield Street

ADELAIDE SA 5000

T 08 8463 7476 F 08 8463 7460

E [www.police.sa.gov.au](http://www.police.sa.gov.au)

**SOUTH AUSTRALIA POLICE**

**KEEPING SA SAFE**

The information contained in this message and any attachment is confidential and may also be the subject of legal, professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document and or its attachments is unauthorised. Please advise us by reply and then delete it from your system. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of SAPOL.

---

Get the name you always wanted with the [new y7mail email address](#).

---

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG.

Version: 7.5.518 / Virus Database: 269.21.7/1327 - Release Date: 12/03/2008 1:27 PM